

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,818	11/24/2003	Sam R. Reddy	GP-303880	5357
7590 09/15/2005			EXAMINER	
Kathryn A. Marra, Esq.			MOULIS, THOMAS N	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff-Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P. O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applic	ant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/720,818	REDD	REDDY, SAM R.			
		Examiner	Art Un	it			
•		Thomas N. Mou	is 3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspo	ndence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CO CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how tion. period will apply and will expire y statute, cause the application to	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing become ABANDONED (35 U.S.	g date of this communication. .C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a)□	•	This action is non-fin	al.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	:				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election require	ment.				
Applicat	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
1) Notice	3)						
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/er No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03.	(SB/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent App Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-11, 13- 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reddy ('548). The reference discloses an evaporative emission control system for a vehicle powered by an internal combustion engine having the claimed elements of activated carbon to adsorb Butane in contact with electrodes to provide resistive heating of the activated carbon fiber material. The recitation that the emission control system is "for a hybrid vehicle" amounts to the intended use of the device and does not further define the actual claimed structure over that of the prior art. See Figure 2 showing the resistive heating element and temperature sensor (100).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,818

Art Unit: 3747

4. Claims 3, 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reddy in view of Economy et al ('588). The Reddy discloses reference an evaporative emission control system for a vehicle powered by an internal combustion engine having the claimed elements of activated carbon to adsorb Butane in contact with electrodes to provide resistive heating of the activated carbon fiber material. Economy teaches using a novoloid fiber material to make adsorption filters for pollution control. Note column 9 states the fiber can be chopped into short lengths or formed into a fluffy web. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the known novoloid fiber material within the canister to achieve desired adsorption performance.

Page 3

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the various fuel vapor canister systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas N. Moulis whose telephone number is 571 272 4852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 571 272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,818

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas N Moulis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

tm